e-ISSN: 2249 – 7781 Print ISSN: 2249 – 779X



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research & Analysis

**Research article** 

# DEVELOPMENT OF VALIDATED UV SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC AND RP - HPLC METHOD FOR THE SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF AMBROXOL HYDROCHLORIDE AND DOXOFYLLINE FROM BULK DRUGS

# Akhil MB, Nihila K, Y Haribabu, Kumar Palanisamy<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Grace College of Pharmacy, Palakkad, Kerala, India

## ABSTRACT

Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline are having  $\pi$  electrons in their structure and hence absorbs electromagnetic radiation in the UV region. This character of the drugs are used for estimating them in combination by UV-spectroscopic method using Jasco V-530 double beam UV-Vspectrophotometer, The calibration curves were obtained for both Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline in the range of 5-50µg/ml and 40-400µg/ml, respectively. The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline at 249nm were found to be 0.0221, 0.0187 and 0.9983 and 0.0003, 0.0250, and 0.9996at 275nm were found to be 0.0019, 0.0137 and 0.9977 and 0.0032, -0.0014 and 0.9995, respectively. In RP-HPLC Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline system gave symmetric peak shapes, and minimum of tailing. The retention time for Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 2.4 and 3.7 minutes, respectively. Linearity of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 1-10 µg/ml for both drugs and correlation coefficient value was found to be 0.999 and 0.997, respectively showing good correlation between concentration and peak area response. The LOD and LOQ of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 50 and 500 ng/ml respectively for both drugs.

Keywords: Ambroxol hydrochloride, Doxofylline, Correlation Coefficient, Electromagnetic radiation.

## INTRODUCTION

UV spectroscopy is generally used in analysis for the quantitative determination of different analytes, such as transitionmetalions, biological

## **Corresponding Author**

#### Kumar Palanisamy

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Grace College of Pharmacy, Palakkad, Kerala, India.

#### **Email**: nkumarpharma@gmail.com

macromolecules and highly conjugated organic compounds [1]. Spectroscopic analysis is commonly carried out in solutions but solids and gases may also be studied. Among the various spectrophotometric methods available, the technique of ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy is the most common method used in the analytical techniques used in the field of pharmacy [2]. It deals with the determination of the amount of UV (190-380 nm) or visible (380-800 nm) radiation absorbed by a substance in solution. High performance liquid chromatography is a convenient separation technique used for wide types of samples, with exceptional resolving power, speed and nano molecular detection levels [3]. This technique is based on the same modes of separation as that of classical chromatography such as adsorption, partition, ion exchange and gel permeation, but it differs from column chromatography in the fact that the mobile phase is passed through the packed column under high pressure [4]. Ambroxol chemical called trans-4-(2-Amino-3,5dibrombenzylamino) cyclohexanol, it is yellow to vellowish crystalline powder, Soluble in water, acetonitrile, methanol and Alcohols. Whereas Doxofylline 7-(1,3-dioxolan-2-ylmethyl)-1,3-dimethylpurine-2,6dione, it is White crystalline powder, Soluble in water, acetone, benzene, chloroform[5].



#### MATERIAL AND METHODS Chemicals and solvents

- Methanol AR grade, HPLC grade (Qualigens Fine ChemicalsPvt. Ltd., Mumbai)
- Acetonitrile, HPLC grade (Merck Pvt.Ltd., Mumbai)

#### Materials used

- LichroCART  $C_{18}$  (250×4.0 mm, 5  $\mu$ m) column (Merck Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai)
- Shimadzu HPLC System Class LC 10 VP System with photodiode array detector was used for the study

#### **Instruments Used**

Jasco V-530 UV/Vis Spectrophotometer

#### UV Spectrophotometric Method Selection of solvent

Solubility of drugs were checked in solvents like water, methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile, etc. Absorbance of both drugs was higher and exhibited distinct  $\lambda_{max}$ in methanol and hence methanol was selected as solvent for further studies [6].

#### Selection of wavelength

A stock solution of  $50\mu$ g/ml of Ambroxol hydrochloride and  $400\mu$ g/ml of Doxofylline were prepared in methanol separately. These solutions were scanned in UV-region and their overlain spectra were recorded. The wavelength was selected as 249nm and 275nm.Different concentration of Ambroxol hydrochloride (5-50 $\mu$ g/ml), Doxofylline (40-400 $\mu$ g/ml) and mixture of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were prepared from respective stock solutions [7]. The absorbance was noted at the above said wavelengths, good linearity was observed hence these wavelengths were selected for their simultaneous estimation. The absorptivity was calculated for Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline at the selected wavelengths and the values are shown in table 1&2.

#### Method Validation by UV

The developed method was validated in terms of parameters like precision, linearity and stability studies [8].

## Linearity and range Ambroxol hydrochloride

Ambroxol hydrochloride was found to be linear in the concentration range of 5-50  $\mu$ g/ml. The absorbance of these solutions were noted at the selected wavelengths, 249nm and 275nm, and calibration curves were plotted using concentration and absorbance. At wavelength 249nm-slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values were found to be 0.0221, 0.0187 and 0.9983 and at 275nm-slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values were found to be 0.0019, 0.0137 and 0.9977.

#### Doxofylline

Doxofylline was found to be linear in the concentration range of 40-400  $\mu$ g/ml. At wavelength 249nm-slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values were found to be 0.0003, 0.0250, and 0.9996 and at 275nm-slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values were found to be 0.0032, -0.0014 and 0.9995.

#### Precision

Precision of the method was demonstrated by

#### **Intraday precision**

Intraday precision was found out by carrying out the analysis of the standard drug for three different concentrations in the linearity range of drug for three times on the same day and %RSD was calculated as shown in table 3.

#### Inter day precision

Inter day precision was found out by carrying out the analysis of the standard drug for three different concentrations in the linearity range of drug for three days over a period of one week and %RSD were calculated shown in table 4.

## **Stability Studies**

When the prepared solutions are exposed to atmosphere, the analytes are likely to decompose. Hence it is necessary to conduct stability studies. Stability of the analytes in the solutionswas studied at different time intervals and absorbance was compared with the absorbance of freshly prepared solution. The solutions were found to be stable for about 7 hours as reduction of absorbance was within the limits in table 5.

#### **Recovery Studies**

In order to ensure the suitability and reliability of proposed method, recovery studies were carried out. To an equivalent quantity of mixture, a known quantity of standard Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were added at 50 and 100% level and the contents were re-analysed by the proposed method. The % recovery and %RSD were calculated in table 6.

## **RP-HPLC** Method

## Selection of mobile phase

Solvent selectivity (solvent type), solvent strength (percentage of organic solvent in the mobile phase), strength and pH of buffer, flow rate etc. were varied to determine the chromatographic conditions that gave the best separation in table 7.

#### Selection of Ratio of Mobile phase

In a mobile phase system consisting water (pH-3.4) and acetonitrile in different ratios like 40:60, 30:70 and 50:50 % v/v, a mixture of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were injected. Symmetrical peaks with good resolution was obtained with a ratio of 40:60 % v/v and hence selected for further studies [9].

## Selection of flow rate

Keeping all the parameters of mobile phase system constant, the chromatograms were recorded with different flow rates like 0.6, 0.8 and 1ml/ min. with flow rate 0.6 and 1ml/ min, peaks were not symmetrical. But a flow rate of 0.8ml/min gave good symmetrical peaks and hence selected for further studies [10].

#### Method Validationby RP-HPLC

# Limit of detection (LOD) and Limit of quantification (LOQ)

LOD and LOQ were determined by injecting progressively lower concentrations of the drug. LOD of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 50ng/ml and LOQ of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 500ng/ml.

#### Linearity and range

Calibration graph was plotted using standard drug peak areas Vs. concentration of standard solutions table 8. Linear regression data revealed an excellent linear relationship for both the drugs in the concentration range of  $1-10\mu$ g/ml. The slope, intercept and correlation co-efficient values were found to 22979.8485, 2071.7333 and 0.999113 respectively, for Ambroxol hydrochloride and 35314.9879, -5270.7333 and 0.997979 respectively, for Doxofylline [11].

#### Chromatogram of Standards Precision Intraday precision

Intraday precision was done by carrying out analysis of standard drugs solutions at a concentration in the linearity range  $(5\mu g/ml)$  for six times on the same day and %RSD was calculated in table 9.

#### Inter day precision

Inter day precision was done by carrying out the analysis of standard drugs solutions at a concentration in the linearity range  $(5\mu/ml)$  for three days and %RSD was calculated in table 10.

#### Accuracy

Recovery studies were done for determining accuracy parameter. It was done by mixing known quantity of standard drug with the analysed sample mixture and the contents were reanalyzed by the proposed method.Recovery studies carried out at 50 and 100% levels [12]. The percentage recovery and its %RSD were calculated table 12.

#### **Repeatability of injection**

A standard solution of drugs (5 $\mu$ g/ml) was injected 6 times and its %RSD was calculated in table 11.

#### Stability

Sample solution of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were subjected to stability studies under refrigerated and room conditions. Stabilities were studied by looking for any change in retention time, resolution, peak shape, etc. when compared to chromatogram of freshly prepared solution, the solution stored under room temperature was stable up to 16 hours and under refrigeration up to 48 hours [13].

#### System suitability studies

System suitability parameters like number of theoretical plates (N), peak asymmetry factor (As), resolution (Rs) etc. were studied (table 37).

#### Robustness

In order to demonstrate the robustness of the method, the following optimized conditions were slightly varied.

- $\pm 1$  in ratio of methanol in mobile phase
- $\pm 0.1$  units in pH of buffer
- $\pm 0.1$  in flow rate

The response factors for these changed chromatographic parameters were almost same as that of the fixed chromatographic parameters and hence developed method is said to be robust in table 14.

#### Specificity

Conditions of HPLC method like percentage of organic solvent in mobile phase, ionic strength, pH of buffer, flow rate etc, were changed. Although these changes were made, no additional peaks were found but there were some slight changes in retention times and peak shapes. Peak purity tests were done. The peak purity index of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofyllinewere found to be 1.0000 and 1.0000, respectively. Peak purity index values close to one proves peak purity of the drugs. Fixed chromatographic conditions were made use for the analysis of mixture.

#### **Preparation of standard solutions**

Stock solution of Ambroxol hydrochloride (100 $\mu$ g/ml) and Doxofylline (100 $\mu$ g/ml) were prepared in methanol.

#### **Preparation of mixture**

A quantity of 30mg of Ambroxol hydrochloride and 400mg of Doxofylline were weighed, transferred to a 100ml standard flask and made up to volume with methanol. This solution was further diluted to get the concentration under the range of linearity.

## **Recording of chromatogram**

A steady baseline was recorded with the fixed chromatographic conditions. Standard drugs solutions (1 to  $10\mu g/ml$  of Ambroxol hydrochloride and 1 to  $10\mu g/ml$  of Doxofylline) were injected and chromatograms were recorded. Retention time of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 2.4 and 3.7 minutes, respectively. This was followed by injection of sample solution obtained from mixture [14].

Calibration curves were plotted using peak area of standard drugs Vs concentration of standard solutions. Peak areas of the sample chromatograms were compared and amount of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were calculated in table 15.

Como	249nm			275nm		
(µg/ml)	Abs.	Absorptivity	Avg. Absorptivity	Abs.	Absorptivity	Avg. Absorptivity
5	0.1274	0.02548		0.0258	0.00516	
10	0.2447	0.02447		0.0338	0.00338	
15	0.3414	0.02276		0.0410	0.00273	
20	0.4614	0.02307		0.0504	0.00252	
25	0.5882	0.02352	0.02217	0.0594	0.00237	0.00272
30	0.6495	0.02165	0.02517	0.0675	0.00225	0.00275
35	0.7960	0.02274		0.0779	0.00221	
40	0.9267	0.02316		0.0910	0.00227	
45	1.0364	0.02303		0.1004	0.00223	
50	1 0944	0.02188		0 1096	0.00219	1

Table 1. Absorbance and absor	ptivity of A	Ambroxol hydrochl	oride at selected	wavelengths

Table 2. Absorbance and absorptivity of Doxofylline at selected wavelengths

Cono		249nm	249nm		275nm		
(µg/ml)	Abs.	Absorptivity	Avg. Absorptivity	Abs.	Absorptivity	Avg. Absorptivity	
40	0.0367	0.00091		0.1506	0.00376		
80	0.0463	0.00057		0.2334	0.00291		
120	0.0564	0.00047		0.3782	0.00315		
160	0.0670	0.00041		0.5011	0.00313		
200	0.0765	0.00038	0.00044	0.6424	0.00321	0.00221	
240	0.0873	0.00036	0.00044	0.7609	0.00317	0.00521	
280	0.0984	0.00035		0.8907	0.00318		
320	0.1095	0.00034		1.0127	0.00316		
360	0.1200	0.00033		1.1435	0.00317		
400	0.1316	0.00032	]	1.2818	0.00320		

#### Table 3. Intraday precision

Ambroxol hydrochloride			Doxofylline		
Concentration	Absorbance at	%RSD*	Concentration	Absorbance at	%RSD*
(µg/ml)	249nm		(µg/ml)	275nm	
10	0.2447	0.18	80	0.2334	0.97
	0.2390			0.2362	
	0.2481			0.2381	
30	0.6495	0.14	240	0.7609	0.28

	0.6573			0.7583	
	0.6424			0.7566	
50	1.0944	0.83	400	1.2818	0.71
	1.1129			1.2652	
	1.1022			1.2669	

\*mean of three observations

# Table 4. Inter day precision

Day	y Ambroxol hydrochloride			Doxofylline		
	Concentration	Absorbance at	%RSD*	Concentration	Absorbance at	%RSD*
	(µg/ml)	249nm		(µg/ml)	275nm	
1	10	0.2447	0.99	80	0.2334	0.12
2		0.2473			0.2388	
3		0.2496			0.2346	
1	30	0.6495	0.34	240	0.7609	0.38
2		0.6456			0.7555	
3		0.6450			0.7601	
1	50	1.0944	0.14	400	1.2818	0.23
2	]	1.0771			1.2790	]
3		1.1090			1.2759	

\*mean of three observations

## Table 5.Stability studies

Time (hug)	Ambroxol h	ydrochloride	Doxofylline		
Time (iirs)	Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance at 249nm	Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance at 275nm	
0		0.6495		1.2818	
1		0.6487		1.2714	
2		0.6321		1.2675	
3		0.6357		1.2427	
4	30	0.6125	400	1.2237	
5		0.6035		1.1925	
6		0.5989		1.1812	
7		0.5972		1.1695	
8		0.5801		1.1522	

# Table 6. Recovery studies

	% Rec	covery	%RSD*		
Level	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	
50%	99.87	100.65	0.160	0.321	
100%	99.65	98.58	0.317	0.457	

\*mean of six observations

## Table 7. Selection of mobile phase

Mobile phase	Observation
Methanol : Water	Broad peaks
Acetonitrile : water	Good separation with tailing
Acetonitrile : water(pH-2.5)	Good separation with fronting
Acetonitrile : water(pH-3.4)	Good separation with symmetrical peaks
Acetonitrile : water(pH-4)	Good separation with tailing

## Table 8. Calibration data of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline

Concentration (us/ml)	Peak area			
Concentration (µg/mi)	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline		
1	25860	34360		
2	48054	68887		
3	70485	100326		
4	95401	135796		
5	114131	163013		
6	139679	201863		
7	164793	237036		
8	187901	275109		
9	202378	328553		
10	235927	344674		

## **Table 9. Intraday presicion**

Concentration	Peak area		%RSD*	
(µg/ml)	Amboxol	Doxofylline	Ambroxol	Doxofylline
	hydrochloride			
5	114131	163013	0.836	0.448
	114682	163454		
	115643	164455		
	116783	162999		
	114457	163838		
	115468	163912		

\*mean of six observations

## Table 10. Inter day presicion

Day	Concentration	Peak area		%RSD*	
	(µg/ml)	Amroxol Doxofylline		Ambroxol	Doxofylline
		hydrochloride			
1	5	114131	163013	0.826	0.467
2		118663	164224		
3		118221	162299		

\*mean of three observations

## Table 11. Repeatability of injection

Concentration		Peak area		%RSD*		
(µg/ml)	Injection	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	
5	1	118798	176280			
	2	118653	176165		0.9234	
	3	118773	176315	0.6452		
	4	118810	176267	0.0432		
	5	118642	176520			
	6	118321	176421			

\*mean of six observations

## Table 12. Recovery studies

Level	% Rec	covery	%RSD*		
	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	
50%	99.86	101.36	0.219	0.354	
100%	98.65	100.21	0.432	0.167	

\*mean of six observations

#### Table 13. System suitability studies

Drugs	R <sub>s</sub>	Ν	A <sub>s</sub>	Tailing factor
Ambroxol	6.893	5989.484	1.379	1.244
hydrochloride				
Doxofylline		6210.504	1.351	1.195

#### **Table 14. Robustness**

Chromatographic condition		Peak	Fig.no	
		Ambroxol hydrochloride	Doxofylline	
Mobile phase	59:41	114636	164183	71
ratio	61:39	116397	163226	72
(Acetonitrile:				
Water pH-3.4)				
pH of Water	3.3	118798	176280	73
	3.5	120561	177727	74
Flow rate	0.7	132937	187570	75
	0.9	103709	146893	76

## Table 15. Analysis of mixture

Drug	Amont of drug (mg)	$Assay(\%) \pm \%RSD^*$	
	Amount taken	Estimated	
Ambroxol hydrochloride	30	29.74	$99.13 \pm 0.126$
Doxofylline	400	400.86	$100.22 \pm 0.115$

\*mean of six observations

 Table 16. Analysis of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline in Bulk drug

Drug	UV Spectrophotometry			HPTLC			HPLC		
	Est.	%	Linearity	Est.	%	Linearity	Est.	%	Linearity
	Amt	RSD*	(µg/ml)	Amt	RSD*	(ng/band)	Amt	RSD*	(µg/ml)
	(mg)			( <b>mg</b> )			(mg)		
Amb	30.94	0.17	5-50	29.97	0.2	300-1100	29.74	0.42	1-10
Dox	400.76	1.08	40-400	400.52	0.2	100-1100	400.86	0.31	1-10

\*mean of six observations

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION UV Spectroscopic Method

Estimation of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline was achieved by simultaneous equation method using Jasco V-530 double beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Stock solutions of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were prepared in methanol. The calibration curves were obtained for both Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline in the range of 5-50µg/ml and 40-400µg/ml, respectively. The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline at 249nm were found to be 0.0221, 0.0187 and 0.9983 and 0.0003, 0.0250, and 0.9996, respectively. The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline at 275nm were found to be 0.0019, 0.0137 and 0.9977 and 0.0032, -0.0014 and 0.9995, respectively.

The recovery studies were carried out to ensure the reproducibility and reliability of the method by adding known amount of standard drug solutions and analysis was carried as per developed procedure.

#### **RP-HPLC Method**

In RP-HPLC method, optimizations of different parameters like selection chromatographic of chromatographic method, detection wavelength, selection of mobile phase, ionic strength of mobile phase, mobile phase ratio, flow rate etc. were done. A wavelength of 255nm was selected for the study. A mobile phase system (binary mixture) containing water (pH-3.4) : acetonitrile (40:60, v/v), flow rate – 0.8 mL/min, was employed for the determination of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline because this system gave symmetric peak shapes, and minimum of tailing. The retention time for Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 2.4 and 3.7 minutes, respectively as shown in table 16.

Linearity of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be  $1-10 \mu g/ml$  for both drugs and correlation coefficient value was found to be 0.999 and 0.997, respectively showing good correlation

between concentration and peak area response. The LOD and LOQ of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline were found to be 50 and 500 ng/ml respectively for both drugs. Precision of the developed method was studied under inter-day, intra-day and repeatability studies. A low relative standard deviation value shows that the developed method is precise. Recovery study was carried out at 50% and 100% levels. System suitability parameters were studied like number of theoretical plates (N), asymmetry factor (As), resolution (Rs) etc. were

## REFERENCES

- 1. Wilam K. Organic spectroscopy. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Palgrave publication, 1991, 243.
- Veronica RM. Practical High Performance Liquid Chromatography. 4<sup>th</sup>ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2004, 199-337
- 3. Skoog DA, Holler JF, Nieman TA. Principles of Instrumental Analysis. 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Singapore: Thomson Learning Inc; 1998, 110-300.
- Munson JW. Pharmaceutical analysis, modern methods. Part A. Mumbai: International medical book distributors; 2001. p. 230-231, 239-262.
- 5. Breaux J, Jones K, Boulas P. Understanding and implementing efficient analytical method development and validation. *Pharmaceutical technology*. 2003, 6-13.
- MadhusmitaSahoo, Pratima Syal, SnehalIngale, KunalIngale, Santosh Sindhe, Monali Sali VP. Choudhari BS. Kuchekar. Development and validation of a RP-HPLC-PDA method for Simultaneous Determination of lornoxicam and thiocolchicoside in Pharmaceutical dosage form and its application for dissolution study. J. Res. Pharm. Sci, 2(1), 2011, 1-7
- 7. ZaferDincer, HasanBasan,NilgunGundenGoger. Quantitative determination of ambroxol in tablets by derivative UV spectrophotometric method and HPLC. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*, 31(5), 2003, 867-72.
- 8. GunawanIndrayanto, RatnaHandajani. Quantitative determination of ambroxol hydrochloride in syrups by RP-HPLC and UV spectroscopy. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 20(9), 1994, 1639-47
- 9. Jain PS\_Stability-indicating HPTLC determination of ambroxol hydrochloride in bulk drug and pharmaceutical dosage form. *JChromatogr Sci*, 48(1), 2010, 45-8.
- 10. Venkatesan S, Giriraj P, Myvizhi S, Kathiravan P, Rameshwarsingh. A simple HPLC Method for quantitation of doxofylline in tablet dosage Form. *International Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2010, 2.
- 11. Ashu M, Shikha P. Development and validation of rapid HPLC method for determination of doxofylline in bulk drug and pharmaceutical dosage forms. *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 65(3), 293-297.
- 12. HR Joshi, AH Patel, AD Captain. Spectrophotometric and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of doxophylline in pharmaceutical formulations. *Pharm Analysis*, 2(3), 2010, 289-296.
- Lalitha N, Sanjay PN, Vyshak MG, Uvesh K. Stability-indicating reverse phase HPLC method for the determination of cefazolin. *Trop J Pharm Res*, 9(1), 2010, 45-50.
- 14. Farzana SB, Vavia PR. A Stability indicating HPLC method for the determination of meloxicam in bulk and commercial formulations. *Trop J Pharm Res*, 8(3), 2009, 257-264.



Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International

studied. The validated RP-HPLC method was applied to the determination of Ambroxol hydrochloride and Doxofylline in bulk drugs.

## AKNOWLEDGEMENT

Nil

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST Nil